

I am concerned about Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. I am not sure why they are allowed to even show such a one sided, clearly politically motivated showing is allowed.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. I do not believe this is in the best public interest. If allowed to do this, there should be a showing of "Fahrenheit 9/11" immediately following for balance.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.